IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

LEVERGOOD et al.

Appl. No.: 09/548,235

Filed: April 12, 2000

For: Web Advertising Method

Confirmation No.: 6069

Art Unit: 2452

Examiner: WINDER, Patrice L.

Atty. Docket: 3057.0020002

Twenty-First Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Commissioner:

Notice of Prior and Concurrent Proceedings

Applicants hereby call to the attention of the Patent and Trademark Office the following reexamination proceedings involving a patent that is directly related to the above-identified patent application:

Proceeding	Status
Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 5,708,780	Reexamination Certificate
(Control No. 90/007,183)	Issued: March 15, 2006
Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,272,639	Reexamination Certificate
(Control No. 90/011,444)	Issued; September 29, 2011
Ex Parte Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 7,272,639	Reexamination Certificate
(Control No. 90/011,937)	Issued; February 1, 2013

A document which may be material to the related *Ex Parte* Reexaminations that is not already of record in this patent application is listed on the accompanying Form PTO/SB/08a as document US1. If the Examiner should wish to review any other materials, information or documents from the related Reexaminations, copies can be provided to the Examiner upon request.

Notice of Related Litigation

Applicants hereby call to the attention of the Patent and Trademark Office the following litigations involving a patent that is directly related to the above-identified patent application:

Case	Status
Soverain Software LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc., et al. (E.D. Tex. 6:04-cv-00014)	Dismissed
Soverain Software LLC v. CDW Corporation et al., (E.D. Tex. 6:07-cv-00511)	Federal Circuit Decision Reversed In Part and Vacated In Part
Soverain Software LLC v. J.C. Penney Corporation, Inc. et al., (E.D. Tex. 6:09-cv-00274)	Notice of Appeal filed

A document which may be material to the related litigations that is not already of record in this patent application is listed on the accompanying Form PTO/SB/08b and submitted herewith as document **NPL1**. If the Examiner should wish to review any other materials, information or documents from the related Reexaminations, copies can be provided to the Examiner upon request.

Information Disclosure Statement

Listed on accompanying IDS Forms PTO/SB/08a equivalent and PTO/SB/08b equivalent are documents that may be considered material to the patentability of this application as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56, and in compliance with the duty of disclosure requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98.

Applicants have listed publication dates on the attached IDS Forms based on information presently available to the undersigned. However, the listed publication dates

should not be construed as an admission that the information was actually published on the date indicated.

Applicants reserve the right to establish the patentability of the claimed invention over any of the information provided herewith, and/or to prove that this information may not be prior art, and/or to prove that this information may not be enabling for the teachings purportedly offered.

This statement should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made, or that information more material to the examination of the present patent application does not exist. The Examiner is specifically requested not to rely solely on the material submitted herewith.

Applicants have checked the appropriate boxes below.

- ☐ 1. Statement under 37 C.F.R. 1.704(d). Each item of information contained in this
 Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in a communication from a
 foreign patent office in a counterpart application and this communication was not
 received by any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than thirty
 days prior to the filing of this information disclosure statement.

before the mailing of a first Office Action after the filing of a request for continued examination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114. No statement or fee is required.

- 3. Filing under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c). This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed more than three months after the U.S. filing date AND after the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits, but before the mailing date of a Final Rejection, or Notice of Allowance, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application.
 - a. Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1). I hereby state that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1).
 - b. Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2). I hereby state that no item of information in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to my knowledge after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2).

	□ c.	The required fee is provided through online credit card payment
		authorization in the amount of \$ in payment of the fee under
		37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p).
<u> </u>	Filing under 3	37 C.F.R. § 1.97(d) This Information Disclosure Statement is being
	filed more that	an three months after the U.S. filing date and after the mailing date
	of a Final Re	ejection or Notice of Allowance, but on or before payment of the
	Issue Fee.	The required fee is provided through online credit card payment
	authorization	in the amount of \$ in payment of the fee under 37 C.F.R. §
	1.17(p); in ad	dition:
	a.	Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1). I hereby state that each
		item of information contained in this Information Disclosure
		Statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign
		patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than
		three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure
		Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1).
	□ b.	Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2). I hereby state that no item
		of information in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited
		in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart
		foreign application and, to my knowledge after making reasonable
		inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. §
		1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this
		Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2).

	The document(s) was/were cited in a search report by a foreign patent office in a
	counterpart foreign application. Submission of an English language version of
	the search report that indicates the degree of relevance found by the foreign office
	is provided in satisfaction of the requirement for a concise explanation of
	relevance. 1138 OG 37, 38 and MPEP 609.04(a)(III).
<u></u> 6.	A concise explanation of the relevance of the non-English language document(s) appears below in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(3).
⊠ 7.	A copy of document NPL1 is submitted. However, in accordance with 37 C.F.R.
	§ 1.98(a)(2), no copy of U.S. patent cited as document US1 on the attached IDS
	Form is submitted.
☐ 8.	Copies of the documents were cited by or submitted to
	the Office in an IDS that complies with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)-(c) in Application
	No, filed, which is relied upon for an earlier filing
	date under 35 U.S.C. § 120. Thus, copies of these documents are not attached.
	37 C.F.R. § 1.98(d).

☑ 9. It is expected that the examiner will review the prosecution and cited art in the parent application nos. 09/005,479, filed January 12, 1998 (now U.S. Patent No. 7,272,639, issued September 18, 2007) and 08/474,096, filed June 7, 1995 (now U.S. Patent No. 5,708,780, issued June 13, 1998) in accordance with MPEP 2001.06(b), and indicate in the next communication from the office that the art cited in the earlier prosecution history has been reviewed in connection with the present application.

LEVERGOOD *et al.* Appl. No. 09/548,235

- 7 -

10. In accordance with the Federal Circuit decision in Dayco Prods., Inc. v. Total

Containment, Inc. 329 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2003), Applicants submit herewith

Office Actions from the co-pending U.S. Patent Application No._____,

filed _____, as documents _____ to ____,

The identification of these Office Actions is not to be construed as a waiver of

secrecy as to those applications now or upon issuance of the present application

as a patent. The Examiner is respectfully requested to consider the cited

applications and the art cited therein during examination.

It is respectfully requested that the Examiner initial and return a copy of the

enclosed IDS Forms, and indicate in the official file wrapper of this patent application

that the documents have been considered.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fee

deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 19-0036.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

Salvador M. Bezos Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 60,889

Date: // /76/6 7/9/3

1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3934

(202) 371-2600

1645187_1.DOCX

Equivalent of Form PTO/SB/08a (07-09)

Substitute for form 1449/PTO					Complete if Known		
		ସମମ ବ	HODI	TATEMENT	Application Number	09/548,235	
TWENTY-FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL					Filing Date	April 12, 2000	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Use as many sheets as necessary)				LUSUKE	First Named Inventor	Thomas M. LEVERGOOD	
				PLICANT	Art Unit	2452	
				isary)	Examiner Name	Winder, Patrice L.	
Sheet 1 of 1					Attorney Docket Number	3057.0020002	

		**************************************	U.S. PATEN	T DOCUMENTS	
Examiner	Cite	Document Number Number-Kind Code ^{2 (if}	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where
initials* No.	No. ¹	Number-Kind Code ^{2 (11} known)	MM-DD-YYYY	Applicant of Cited Document	Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
	US1	5,455,953	10-03-1995	Russell	
	US2	7,272,639 C2	02-01-2013	Levergood et al.	
			ļ		

	in the second				
		-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS								
Examiner initials* Cite No.1		Foreign Patent Document	Publication	Name of Patentee or	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where			
	Country Code ³ -Number ⁴ -Kind Code ⁵ (if known)	Date MM-DD-YYYY	Applicant of Cited Document	Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T ⁶			
······································		1						
						ļ		
	ļ					ļ		
	ļ							

1645229_1.DOCX

*****************	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	***************************************
Examiner	Date	
Signature	Considered	

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or notcitation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Substitute for form 1449/PTO					Complete if Known		
	FID	24r 6		PLEMENTAL	Application Number	09/548,235	
10, 1, 10, 10, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 11, 1					Filing Date	April 12, 2000	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE				UNUCULUCI	First Named Inventor	Thomas M. LEVERGOOD	
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT					Art Unit	2452	
(Use as many sheets as necessary)				necessary)	Examiner Name	Winder, Patrice L.	
Sheet	1	of		1	Attorney Docket Number	3057.0020002	

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published	\mathbf{T}^2
	NPL1	OPINION dated January 22, 2013, from the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit Reversing In Part the Judgments of Validity and Vacating In Part the Judgments of Infringement and Damages, <i>Soverain Software LLC v. Newegg Inc.</i> , (Appeal No. 2011-1009; E.D. Tex. 6:07-cv-00511).	
			·
			•

			•
	-		***************************************
			*

1645237_1.DOCX

Examiner	Date	
Signature	Considered	

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.